

**STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF BROOME: CITY OF BINGHAMTON  
COMMISSION ON ARCHITECTURE & URBAN DESIGN**

---

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION

OF

**DECISION NO. 2013-01**

Advantage Glass, APPLICANT

**FOR DESIGN REVIEW PURSUANT  
TO SECTION 4 OF THE  
HISTORIC PRESERVATION ORDINANCE  
OF THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK**

---

The Applicant is the contractor of the premises located at 69 Court Street, Tax Map Number 160.41-1-24. This property is a local landmark located within the Court Street Local and National Register Historic District and all exterior alterations must be reviewed by The Commission on Architecture and Urban Design ('CAUD').

The Applicant has filed an application with the Planning Department of the City of Binghamton for design review by CAUD for the replacement of the existing, non-original wooden storefront, with a new EFIS storefront. The work had already been completed under a valid building permit.

The Applicant has complied with all applicable procedural requirements. CAUD held the public hearing at City Hall 29 January 2013 at 12:00 pm.

The Applicant presented the application. No one spoke in opposition.

After due deliberation, the Commission on Architecture & Urban Design by a 4-0-1 vote, (R. Levy, S. Massey, M. Haas, and P. Klosky in favor; none in opposition; J. Smith abstaining) determined that the application be APPROVED subject to the following conditions:

1. NONE

Commission reviewed the proposal and used the following reasoning for its decision:

1. The existing storefront had been severely damaged by a car crash to the point where repair was not possible, and replacement was necessary;
2. The existing storefront was not original and was not in keeping with the character of the district;
3. The work had already been completed;
4. While an opportunity to improve the storefront was lost, the new storefront does not represent a loss of ground either.

Application: APPROVED

Ruth A. Levy, Chair  
Ruth Levy, Chair  
Commission on Architecture & Urban Design

1/30/2013  
Date

Decision No. 2013-01